

REMARKS

I. INTRODUCTION

In response to the Final Office Action dated May 22, 2001, this divisional application is being submitted. Applicant traverses the prior rejection. Claims 12-35 remain in the application. Entry of these amendments, and re-consideration of the application, as amended, is requested.

II. ELECTION/RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

In paragraph (3) of the Office Action, provided that newly submitted claims 12-35 were drawn to a completely different invention that originally presented and therefore, the invention was deemed constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits.

The rejection stated:

The original presentation of claims 1-11 were directed to an applet receiving commands and using AWT with standard functionality. In stark contrast the newly presented claims 12-35 are directed to a browser application on a computer executing an applet where the applet initiates two different windows outside the browser and uses classes. Further, the window displayed looks like a real application. The first invention was an applet using a tool kit and the second invention is directed to browser application with a single applet generating multiple simultaneous windows with classes and windows that look like a real application.

Applicant traverses such a rejection. The new independent claims are directed towards a browser application and an applet executing therein that displays windows and makes a window look like an executing application (previously submitted new claims were directed towards a looking like a real application).

However, such an invention is not entirely different from the original claims. In the Examiner's own words, the original claims were directed to an applet receiving commands and using AWT with standard functionality. AWT is a class that provides functionality. The new claims specifically provide for the use of an applet and a class that displays a window. Dependent claim 13 provides that the class is the abstract windows toolkit™ (AWT) class. Accordingly, the new claims are directed towards an applet that utilizes a class (AWT) to displays windows. Removing the AWT functionality from the independent claims (as in the original claims) and adding them to a dependent claim (as in the newly submitted claims) where similar functionality is being offered by both sets of claims does not render the claim sets distinct and directed to different inventions.

Accordingly, based on the similarities between the claim sets, the rejection of election by original presentation was improper. Nonetheless, to expedite prosecution, Applicant is filing this divisional application while traversing the election requirement. Further, the detailed response below that was transmitted in response to the first Office Action should be considered when examining the application in view of the previously cited art.

III. PRIOR ART REJECTIONS UNDER 35 U.S.C. §102(e)

In paragraph (6) of the first Office Action, claims 1-11 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) as being anticipated by Craig et al., U.S. Patent No. 6,108,687 (Craig), Dale et al., U.S. Patent No. 6,049,664 (Dale), Faustini, U.S. Patent No. 6,044,218 (Faustini), Van Hoff, U.S. Patent No. 5,802,530 (Van Hoff), and Purnaveja et al., U.S. Patent No. 6,006,241 (Purnaveja) and are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Begole et al., 1997 (Begole) and Lee et al., 1996 (Lee).

The cited references do not teach nor suggest Applicant's independent claims for one or more of the following reasons:

- (1) *The references fail to teach, disclose, or suggest the simultaneous display of multiple windows using a single applet executed by a browser; and*
- (2) *The references fail to teach, disclose, or suggest, simultaneously displaying multiple windows based on a class that comprises elements that make the windows appear like an executing application.*

Applicant has canceled claims 1-11 and added new claims 12-35. Independent claims 12, 20, and 28 are generally directed to an applet (executing in a browser application) that is configured to display multiple windows simultaneously wherein the displayed windows are outside of browser application's window constraints. Further, the applet displays such windows using a class that has elements that cause the window displayed to appear like an executing application.

The cited references provide general references to the use of an applet and various commands for controlling an applet. However, none of the cited references teach utilizing a particular class to display multiple windows that are outside of the browser window's constraints.

Craig merely describes a system disclosed for providing synchronized presentation of slides over a computer network. The display of multiple windows by a single applet as claimed is not taught, disclosed, or suggested.

Dale merely describes a tier-neutral development mechanism for network-based applications. Similar to Craig, Dale fails to teach, disclose, or suggest, the display of multiple windows by a single applet as claimed.

Faustini merely describes a system for a live applet or application development environment includes software that cooperatively promotes and permits immediate socialization of new components with existing components as the new components are instantiated or dropped onto the development desktop. However, similar to Dale and Craig, Faustini fails to teach, disclose, or suggest the use of a particular class that causes a window to be displayed that appears like an executing application.

Van Hoff merely describes a web document based GUI for use on a client computer that is networked with server computers. The Web browser in Van Hoff displays a corresponding GUI web document by executing each of the one or more applets of the corresponding GUI Web document and displaying on the client computer the corresponding interactive image and by displaying on the client computer the one or more links of the corresponding GUI Web document. Thus, multiple applets are used. However, like Craig, Dale and Faustini, Van Hoff lacks any discussion about the use of a single applet to display multiple windows that appear like executing applications.

Purnaveja merely describes the production of synchronization scripts and associated annotated multimedia streams for servers and client computers coupled to each other by a diverse computer network which includes local area networks (LANs) and/or wide area networks (WANs) such as the internet. However, similar to the above discussed references, Purnaveja lacks any discussion about the use of a single applet to display multiple windows that appear like executing applications.

Lee provides support for "multi-user, multi-applet workspaces in CBE". It can be seen from the title alone that Lee utilizes multiple applets to accomplish any goals. Accordingly, Lee fails to teach, disclose, or suggest the use of a single applet to display multiple windows that appear like executing applications.

Begole provides for the transparent sharing of Java applets. However, similar to all of the above described references, Begole fails to teach, disclose, or suggest the use of a single applet to display multiple windows that appear like executing applications.

In addition to each of the above references failing to teach, disclose, or suggest the invention as claimed, the references in fact teach away from the present invention. For example, whenever one of the cited references provide and utilize multiple applets to display a window, such references teach away from the use of a single applet to display multiple windows.

Moreover, the various elements of Applicant's claimed invention together provide operational advantages over Craig, Dale, Faustini, Van Hoff, Purnaveja, Begole, and Lee. In addition, Applicant's invention solves problems not recognized by Craig, Dale, Faustini, Van Hoff, Purnaveja, Begole, and Lee.

Thus, Applicant submits that independent claims 12, 20, and 28 are allowable over Craig, Dale, Faustini, Van Hoff, Purnaveja, Begole, and Lee. Further, dependent claims 13-19, 21-27, and 29-35 are submitted to be allowable over Craig, Dale, Faustini, Van Hoff, Purnaveja, Begole, and Lee in the same manner, because they are dependent on independent claims 12, 20, and 28, respectively, and thus contain all the limitations of the independent claims. In addition, dependent claims 13-19, 21-27, and 29-35 recite additional novel elements not shown by Craig, Dale, Faustini, Van Hoff, Purnaveja, Begole, and Lee.

IV. CONCLUSION

In view of the above, it is submitted that this application is now in good order for allowance and such allowance is respectfully solicited. Should the Examiner believe minor matters still remain that can be resolved in a telephone interview, the Examiner is urged to call Applicant's undersigned attorney.

Respectfully submitted,

Nga T. Dang

By his attorneys,

GATES & COOPER LLP

Howard Hughes Center
6701 Center Drive West, Suite 1050
Los Angeles, California 90045
(310) 641-8797

Date:

8/22/01

By:

George H. Gates

Name: George H. Gates

Reg. No.: 33,500

RECEIVED

APPENDIX A: SPECIFICATION PARAGRAPHS IN MARKED-UP FORM

Please replace the paragraph beginning at page 1, line 6 with the following:

This invention relates in general to generating Web content, and in particular, to a method for implementing a multiple screen Java™ Applet for use with Web pages.

Please replace the paragraph beginning at page 1, line 10 with the following:

As the popularity and usefulness of the Internet grows, more developers are turning to Java™. Java™ is a popular computer language used to create platform-independent applications. Generally, Java™ applets are loaded into browsers from web pages to create text, graphics, or to perform some other useful function. Within the Java™ programming language, a developer can create applets or standalone applications. Applets are programs executed as part of a Web page and displayed within a Java™-enabled browser. Standalone applications, on the other hand, are general-purpose Java™ applications that don't need a browser to run, but require a Java™ Virtual Machine (JVM) on the computer where the standalone application is to be executed.

Please replace the paragraph beginning at page 1, line 18 with the following:

In the prior art, Java™ applets can only display a single page or window at a time. Generally, this is a restriction imposed by the browser or the browser's JVM. It can be seen then, that there is a need for a method for implementing applets that can display more than one page or window at a time.

Please replace the paragraph beginning at page 3, line 12 with the following:

An object of the present invention is to provide a method for implementing a multiple screen Java™ applet. A further object of the present invention is to provide multiple open applets for simultaneous use on a computer system.

Please replace the paragraph beginning at page 5, line 15 with the following:

Within the Internet environment, the use of Java™ to create web pages and other web-based applications is widespread. Java™ is used because of its platform independence. Theoretically, a Java™ application can be executed by any client computer that has a JVM, regardless of that client computer's hardware or operating system type.

Please replace the paragraph beginning at page 6, line 1 with the following:

A number of high-level features of the Java™ computer language are used to support this platform independence. One such high-level feature is the Abstract Window Toolkit™ (AWT). The AWT is a Graphical User Interface (GUI) that offers a variety of tools for creating buttons, list boxes, etc., drawing two dimensional entities, creating text fonts and colors, scaling entities, etc. Further, the AWT handles events between users and computer systems such as keyboard entries, mouse clicks, etc. AWT provides a foundation for users to make applications and applets that are portable across platforms, which makes the applet or application have similar characteristics, also known as a “look and feel,” on whatever platform a particular user is operating.

Please replace the paragraph beginning on page 7, line 13 with the following:

The limitations of the prior art are minimized by the present invention. The present invention implements an applet that intelligently responds to the open and close commands, e.g., the Init, Start, Stop, and Destroy methods implemented in the Java™ computer language, to allow for multiple windows to be open at any given time.

Please replace the paragraph beginning on page 8 line 6 with the following:

Either or both of the Web browser 104 and Web daemon 108 may include a Java™ Virtual Machine (JVM) 110 that executes Java™ applets 112, objects, scripts, etc., associated with various Web content.

Please replace the paragraph beginning on page 9, line 6 with the following:

Within the Java™ language, the AWT offers two classes that can create popup windows that appear outside the constraints of the normal browser 104 area allocated to an applet 112: Window and Frame.

Please replace the paragraph beginning on page 10, line 4 with the following:

The present invention uses the Frame class to allow multiple window applets 112, offered within the Java™ AWT, to make the applet 112 “look and feel” like a Java™ application. Since Frame class objects can be displayed or closed at any time, be minimized or maximized, and displayed at multiple locations on the monitor, the use of the Frame class by the present invention allows multiple window Java™ applets 112 to be open simultaneously, and also allows multiple applets 112 to be open simultaneously.

Please replace the paragraph beginning on page 16, line 15 with the following:

This concludes the description of the preferred embodiment of the invention. The following describes some alternative embodiments for accomplishing the present invention. For example, any type of computer, such as a mainframe, minicomputer, or personal computer, could be used to

1970-1971
 1972-1973
 1974-1975
 1976-1977
 1978-1979
 1980-1981
 1982-1983
 1984-1985
 1986-1987
 1988-1989
 1990-1991
 1992-1993
 1994-1995
 1996-1997
 1998-1999
 2000-2001
 2002-2003
 2004-2005
 2006-2007
 2008-2009
 2010-2011
 2012-2013
 2014-2015
 2016-2017
 2018-2019
 2020-2021
 2022-2023
 2024-2025
 2026-2027
 2028-2029
 2030-2031
 2032-2033
 2034-2035
 2036-2037
 2038-2039
 2040-2041
 2042-2043
 2044-2045
 2046-2047
 2048-2049
 2050-2051
 2052-2053
 2054-2055
 2056-2057
 2058-2059
 2060-2061
 2062-2063
 2064-2065
 2066-2067
 2068-2069
 2070-2071
 2072-2073
 2074-2075
 2076-2077
 2078-2079
 2080-2081
 2082-2083
 2084-2085
 2086-2087
 2088-2089
 2090-2091
 2092-2093
 2094-2095
 2096-2097
 2098-2099
 2100-2101
 2102-2103
 2104-2105
 2106-2107
 2108-2109
 2110-2111
 2112-2113
 2114-2115
 2116-2117
 2118-2119
 2120-2121
 2122-2123
 2124-2125
 2126-2127
 2128-2129
 2130-2131
 2132-2133
 2134-2135
 2136-2137
 2138-2139
 2140-2141
 2142-2143
 2144-2145
 2146-2147
 2148-2149
 2150-2151
 2152-2153
 2154-2155
 2156-2157
 2158-2159
 2160-2161
 2162-2163
 2164-2165
 2166-2167
 2168-2169
 2170-2171
 2172-2173
 2174-2175
 2176-2177
 2178-2179
 2180-2181
 2182-2183
 2184-2185
 2186-2187
 2188-2189
 2190-2191
 2192-2193
 2194-2195
 2196-2197
 2198-2199
 2200-2201
 2202-2203
 2204-2205
 2206-2207
 2208-2209
 2210-2211
 2212-2213
 2214-2215
 2216-2217
 2218-2219
 2220-2221
 2222-2223
 2224-2225
 2226-2227
 2228-2229
 2230-2231
 2232-2233
 2234-2235
 2236-2237
 2238-2239
 2240-2241
 2242-2243
 2244-2245
 2246-2247
 2248-2249
 2250-2251
 2252-2253
 2254-2255
 2256-2257
 2258-2259
 2260-2261
 2262-2263
 2264-2265
 2266-2267
 2268-2269
 2270-2271
 2272-2273
 2274-2275
 2276-2277
 2278-2279
 2280-2281
 2282-2283
 2284-2285
 2286-2287
 2288-2289
 2290-2291
 2292-2293
 2294-2295
 2296-2297
 2298-2299
 2300-2301
 2302-2303
 2304-2305
 2306-2307
 2308-2309
 2310-2311
 2312-2313
 2314-2315
 2316-2317
 2318-2319
 2320-2321
 2322-2323
 2324-2325
 2326-2327
 2328-2329
 2330-2331
 2332-2333
 2334-2335
 2336-2337
 2338-2339
 2340-2341
 2342-2343
 2344-2345
 2346-2347
 2348-2349
 2350-2351
 2352-2353
 2354-2355
 2356-2357
 2358-2359
 2360-2361
 2362-2363
 2364-2365
 2366-2367
 2368-2369
 2370-2371
 2372-2373
 2374-2375
 2376-2377
 2378-2379
 2380-2381
 2382-2383
 2384-2385
 2386-2387
 2388-2389
 2390-2391
 2392-2393
 2394-2395
 2396-2397
 2398-2399
 2400-2401
 2402-2403
 2404-2405
 2406-2407
 2408-2409
 2410-2411
 2412-2413
 2414-2415
 2416-2417
 2418-2419
 2420-2421
 2422-2423
 2424-2425
 2426-2427
 2428-2429
 2430-2431
 2432-2433
 2434-2435
 2436-2437
 2438-2439
 2440-2441
 2442-2443
 2444-2445
 2446-2447
 2448-2449
 2450-2451
 2452-2453
 2454-2455
 2456-2457
 2458-2459
 2460-2461
 2462-2463
 2464-2465
 2466-2467
 2468-2469
 2470-2471
 2472-2473
 2474-2475
 2476-2477
 2478-2479
 2480-2481
 2482-2483
 2484-2485
 2486-2487
 2488-2489
 2490-2491
 2492-2493
 2494-2495
 2496-2497
 2498-2499
 2500-2501
 2502-2503
 2504-2505
 2506-2507
 2508-2509
 2510-2511
 2512-2513
 2514-2515
 2516-2517
 2518-2519
 2520-2521
 2522-2523
 2524-2525
 2526-2527
 2528-2529
 2530-2531
 2532-2533
 2534-2535
 2536-2537
 2538-2539
 2540-2541
 2542-2543
 2544-2545
 2546-2547
 2548-2549
 2550-2551
 2552-2553
 255